

Appendix 3: Summary table of consultation responses

Respondent	Summary of comments	Officer response
Horley PC	The Council asked me to thank you for all your hard work in preparing an excellent document, and to confirm the Council's support for the changes you are proposing. The Council is not suggesting any amendments to the draft. The Council would like to be notified of any changes which you propose to make following the public consultation. Also, it would be helpful if you could let me know when the draft is going to be considered by your Planning Committee.	Noted.
Wroxton & Balscote PC	I have circulated this information to the Parish Council and they have confirmed that they support the appraisal	Noted.
D Reynolds	Plans discussed thoroughly. The cricket field and land to the rear of Gulliver's Close to be considered for inclusion. All heritage should be maintained. All hedgerows should be maintained.	Noted. A further review of the boundary was completed by officers and allowing for the NPPF requirement for sufficient special architectural or historic interest it was not considered appropriate to include the cricket field or the modern development at Gulliver's Close. Noted. Noted. Separate legislation is in place to protect historic hedgerows.
Anonymous	The cricket field should be included as it provides ambience and character to the village.	A further review of the boundary was completed by officers and allowing for the NPPF requirement for sufficient special architectural or historic interest it was not considered appropriate

	<p>Modern materials and techniques should be allowed on Listed Buildings to reduce costs and preserve the environment. There should be a sense of proportion between rules and regulations and the costs of implementing them. No more additions to existing protection.</p>	<p>to include the cricket field.</p> <p>Officers noted comments. Historic England state 'the use of authentic material helps to retain the character of historic buildings and in turn supports traditional industries and vital craft skills.' Appropriate breathable modern materials can be acceptable, and energy improvements are considered on a case by case basis in terms of harm to the listed building and public benefit.</p>
C Cozens	<p>The boundary should be extended to include Gulliver's Close and the gardens/paddocks behind and the cricket pitch to preserve the setting to the east.</p> <p>Concerns about recent planning application approvals in and around Brook Cottage.</p> <p>Hedgerows should be maintained to the south of Little Lane to retain views of Bramshill.</p>	<p>A further review of the boundary was completed by officers and it was considered that taking into account paragraph 186 of the NPPF, to include Gulliver's Close and the cricket ground would not be appropriate and would not fulfil the requirement to ensure sufficient special architectural or historic interest. The setting of the Conservation Area and listed buildings should be taken into account as part of the planning process.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
C Mineeff	<p>The boundary relating to Brooke Cottage is incorrect.</p>	<p>Officers acknowledged and accepted the comments and amended the boundary in line with Land Registry mapping.</p>
Anonymous	<p>It is unfair to the people in the village that will be affected by article 4</p>	<p>Officers noted comments. Article 4 Directions are not being</p>

	<p>directions, there was no mention on leaflet</p> <p>The boundary should be increased as it makes no sense for Park House front garden not to be included.</p> <p>Priorities for preservation are historic properties encompassing some modern building methods.</p>	<p>adopted as part of the Conservation Area Appraisal and will be subject to a separate process of consultation.</p> <p>Officers acknowledged and accepted the comments regarding Park House and included this in the draft boundary.</p> <p>Noted. Officers noted comments. Historic England state 'the use of authentic material helps to retain the character of historic buildings and in turn supports traditional industries and vital craft skills.' Appropriate breathable modern materials can be acceptable, and energy improvements are considered on a case by case basis in terms of harm to the listed building and public benefit.</p>
C Abbots	<p>A very thorough document.</p> <p>Would prefer to see the Conservation Area extended to the eastern boundary of the cricket pitch and follow south to join the proposed Conservation Area to the south of Gulliver's Close.</p> <p>Good idea to preserve historic buildings</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A further review of the boundary was completed by officers and it was considered that taking into account paragraph 186 of the NPPF, to include Gulliver's Close and the cricket ground would not be appropriate and would not fulfil the requirement to ensure sufficient special architectural or historic interest. The setting of the Conservation Area and listed buildings should be taken into account as part of the planning process.</p> <p>Noted.</p>

	Building development should be kept under strict control	Development is controlled through the planning process
G Peissel	<p>Very interesting and thorough, the officer was most impressive and sensitive to this small village's assets.</p> <p>Extend the boundary as outlined and perhaps include the cricket field, I would also like to see the scout camp added as it really is part of Horley not Wroxton.</p> <p>Would like to know more about how an Article 4 would affect their house.</p> <p>Refer to Church Lane not Little Lane.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A further review of the boundary was completed by officers and allowing for the NPPF requirement for sufficient special architectural or historic interest, it was not considered appropriate to include the cricket field and the Scout Camp within Wroxton is severed from Horley by the former railway.</p> <p>Officers noted comments. Article 4 Directions are not being adopted as part of the Conservation Area Appraisal and will be subject to a separate process of consultation</p> <p>Church Lane runs to the west of the church, Little Lane runs to the south of Horley Manor. References have been corrected in the appraisal.</p>
J and J Williams	<p>This document has been an enormous undertaking, which will be of significant benefit to the long-term future of the village. The document is excellent and clear and thorough explanation of the history of the village.</p> <p>We have some reservations about the eastern boundary as described in 11.7 and 11.1. The curtilage of Smarglen (also known as Sunrising</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Officers acknowledged and accepted the comments and reviewed and amended the boundary to ensure the area is</p>

	<p>House) is mentioned however the enclosed map from the Land Registry demonstrates that the proposed boundary of the Conservation Area cuts across the garden of Holly Tree Farmhouse. The garden of Holly Tree Farmhouse lies between the boundary of Horley Gardens and the boundary of Smarglen, which is different from that shown on the maps produced for the new boundary. The land registry map shows the old farm buildings which were demolished rather than the new houses built known as Smarglen and Dairy Court.</p> <p>The local heritage assets proposed seem appropriate and will offer protection to the character of the village.</p> <p>The preservation and enhancement of the Conservation Area is appropriately laid out in the document</p> <p>The additional protection of important street scenes is appropriately laid out in the document.</p> <p>The timing and notice for the meeting was inadequate and did not highlight that the status of some properties were proposed as local heritage assets.</p>	<p>included.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p> <p>Noted.</p>
M Gifford	<p>The proposed new areas seem appropriate I do not support any reductions.</p> <p>The most sensitive area of open agricultural land within the Conservation Area –to the west of the village – also contains the ‘solitary field barn’ noted in para 3.6 as</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>Officers noted comments. This area was always and continues to be within the Conservation Area. Policy AG2 of The Cherwell</p>

	<p>providing part of the setting to the village. Conversion of this building to residential, with the associated cars/garden etc, would be extremely detrimental. General proposals should be more explicit that conversion of farm buildings in open 'agricultural land within the Conservation Area would also be resisted.</p>	District Local Plan applies.
M Patching	<p>Well done re the presentation re the Conservation Area last week, it was very informative.</p> <p>I think the idea re including the cricket field in the area and the small ridge and furrow paddock behind the grain drier is a good idea.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>A further review of the boundary was completed by officers and allowing for the NPPF requirement for sufficient special architectural or historic interest it was not considered appropriate to include the cricket field. The ridge and furrow field shown for inclusion in the draft boundary has been retained within the boundary.</p>
D Marriot	<p>There are a group of barn conversions to the rear of Bramshill Manor which are of some merit, and I would have thought they ought to be on the list of Heritage Assets. Of course, you might have concluded that they are curtilage listed, so do not need to be included, but I think that argument was tested recently relating to the formerly derelict building to the west of Bramshill Manor, which has been extensively re-built without consent, and in that case, I believe curtilage listing did not apply.</p>	<p>Officers acknowledged and accepted the comments and confirmed that the barns are considered to be curtilage listed. The curtilage status of the barn in the field was debated at length and this was put forward as a local heritage asset in 2017.</p>
J Huskisson	<p>We are appreciative of measures to preserve and enhance the village and the views and aspects it enjoys, both</p>	Noted.

	<p>looking into the village and out to the surrounding countryside.</p> <p>We hope these measures extend to the rear of properties as well as to the front so that the whole property remains in keeping with its origins and the village.</p> <p>We have seen recent examples of work undertaken where permission has not been sought, particularly relating to removing hedges and roads/tracks to create access to fields, and we hope that Cherwell Planning will be better placed in future in enforcing the need for proactive applications (not retrospective when the damage has already been done) and that hedgerows and walls are reinstated that should not have been removed.</p> <p>Concerns relating to the removal of hedgerows and the protection of flora.</p>	<p>The Conservation Area designation includes whole properties.</p> <p>Noted. Breaches should be reported to our Enforcement Team.</p> <p>Officers noted comments. The removal of hedgerows falls under separate legislation.</p>
R. Hoddinott	<p>The Old Forge has always been in Wroxton and should remain as such.</p>	<p>Officer noted comments. The Old Forge, Wroxton Lane, Horley OX15 6BB was always intended to remain in Wroxton Parish. It is to be included in the Horley Conservation Area.</p>